



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,184	01/24/2001	Max G. Paping	00771.00011	2121
22907 . 7	590 03/26/2003			
BANNER & WITCOFF			EXAM	INER
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100			RESAN, S	TEVAN A
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	12_
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.







		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			,	ri z	1-
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	110	J

EXA	MINER
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAVE DUAN ED	12

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which all the statements are considered to a statement which all the statements are considered to a statement which all the statements are considered to a statement which all the statements are considered to a statement which all the statements are considered to a statement which all the statements are considered to a statement which are considered C

nal rejo	re, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for led Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) [The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ave been 7 CFR 1. a) above, arned pa	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any stent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3.1	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter. (see NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
` '	MATE
	MOTE: THE ADDED CLAIM LIMITATIONS AME DIMETED TO EMRODIMENTS MOT PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED AND CHANGE THE SCORE OF THE QUAINS
	NOT PILEVIOUS LY COUSTINGTED AND CHANGE THE SCORE OF THE
4.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
6.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place
	the application in condition for allowance because:
7.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
• 🗆	raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
8.	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
. —	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: a) ☐ has b) ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.
9.∟	The proposed drawing correction filed on a) _ nas _ b) _ nas not been approved by the Examinon
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
11.	Other:

STEVAN A. RESAM PRIMARY EXAMINER